

Proposal Title :	Proposed rezoning of the de	ferred matter precinct at Fai	rfield Heights and Smithfield
Proposal Summary	2011, to rezone the deferred Density Residential (Refer to The proposal also seeks to a	matter precinct at Fairfield H figure 2 of the attached pro mend the land application, h	d Local Environmental Plan (LEP) leights and Smithfield, to R3 Medium posal for location map of precinct). meritage, floor space ratio and height
PP Number :	of buildings maps; and Sche PP_2012_FAIRF_001_00	dule 5 of the Draft Fairfield L Dop File No :	.EP 2011. 12/10057
roposal Details			
Date Planning Proposal Received	12-Jun-2012	LGA covered :	Fairfield
Region :	Sydney Region West	RPA :	Fairfield City Council
State Electorate :	FAIRFIELD	Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal
LEP Type :	Spot Rezoning		
Location Details			
Street :			
Suburb :	City :		Postcode :
O Pe	he deferred matter precinct is bou xford Street, Prospect View Rese olding Street (to the south) and B roposal is included on page 5 of t	rve and Montague Street (to arton Street (to the east). A i	the west); Bodalla Street and
DoP Planning Off	ficer Contact Details		
Contact Name :	Claire Mirow		
Contact Number :	0298601125		
Contact Email :	claire.mirow@planning.nsw.gc	ov.au	
RPA Contact Deta	ails		
Contact Name :	Chris Shinn		
Contact Number :	0297250804		
Contact Email :	cshinn@fairfieldcity.nsw.gov.a	u	
DoP Project Mana	ager Contact Details		
Contact Name :	Rachel Cumming		
	-		
Contact Number :	0298601556		

### Land Release Data

Growth Centre :	N/A	Release Area Name :	N/A
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	Metro West Central subregion	Consistent with Strategy :	Yes
MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha	)	Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	N/A
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created :	0
	1 M		

The NSW Government Yes Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :

If No, comment :

Have there beer	n No	
meetings or		
communications	s with	
registered lobby	ists? :	
If Yes, comment		ENT

At this time, to the best of the Regional Team's knowledge, there have been no meetings or communications with lobbyists regarding this Planning Proposal.

## Supporting notes

Internal Supporting BACKGROUND Notes : The Draft Fairfie

The Draft Fairfield Residential Strategy 2009 (dRDS) was prepared to guide the location and type of future residential development within the Fairfield LGA, and assist Council in accommodating the additional dwelling growth (24,000 additional residential dwellings) identified in the draft West Central Subregional Strategy for the Fairfield LGA.

The dRDS is one of the supporting studies/strategies which informed the development of the Draft Fairfield LEP 2011.

The subject land was identified in Stage 1 of the dRDS as an area suitable for high density residential development due to its proximate location to the Fairfield Heights local business centre; the presence of established infrastructure and services in the area and access to public transport. The subject land was subsequently exhibited as a proposed R4 High Density Residential zone under the Draft Fairfield LEP 2011(between 18 January, 2012 and 14 March, 2012).

At its Comprehensive LEP Committee Meeting on 17 April 2012, Council resolved to defer this area of land from the Draft Fairfield LEP 2011 and forward a planning proposal to the Department to seek to rezone this land to R3 Medium Density Residential, following its receipt of numerous submissions in response to the exhibition of the draft Fairfield LEP 2011 (including a survey containing 100 signatures), objecting to the proposed R4 zoning of this land under the draft Fairfield LEP 2011.

The primary concerns raised in the submissions related to the potential traffic; amenity and privacy impacts of high density residential development within the subject land (Refer to page 3 of the attached proposal).

The subject land was listed as a deferred matter under the draft Fairfield LEP 2011, which was submitted to the Department in May, 2012 for finalisation. Whilst this has the effect of

	maintaining the existing zoning controls for the land under the Fairfield LEP 1994, it was resolved by Council to implement a planning proposal to allow further consultation in relation to the proposed zoning of this land under the Draft Fairfield LEP 2011.
	The planning proposal was received by the Department on 12 June, 2012 for Gateway Determination (a copy of Council's covering letter; planning proposal; supporting maps and reports are attached).
	POLITICAL DONATIONS DISCLOSURE STATEMENT The political donation disclosure laws commenced on 1 October, 2008. The legislation requires public disclosure of donations or gifts for certain circumstances relating to the Planning system.
	The disclosure requirements under the new legislation are triggered by the making of relevant planning applications and relevant public submissions on such applications.
	The term relevant planning application means:
	"A formal request to the Minister, a council or the Director-General to initiate the making of an environmental planning instrument"
	Planning Circular PS 08-009 specifies that a person who makes a public submission to the Minister or Director General is required to disclose all reportable political donations (if any).
	No disclosures were provided for this planning proposal.
External Supportin Notes :	g
equacy Assess	ment
Statement of the	e objectives - s55(2)(a)
Is a statement of the	ne objectives provided? Yes
Comment :	
	The application clearly identifies the objectives of the planning proposal (refer to page 7 of the attached planning proposal).
Explanation of <b>p</b>	
	the attached planning proposal).
	the attached planning proposal). provisions provided - s55(2)(b)
Is an explanation of	the attached planning proposal). provisions provided - s55(2)(b) of provisions provided? Yes The application contains an adequate explanation of provisions (refer to page 7 of the
Is an explanation of	the attached planning proposal). provisions provided - s55(2)(b) of provisions provided? Yes The application contains an adequate explanation of provisions (refer to page 7 of the attached planning proposal). This planning proposal seeks to amend the Draft Fairfield LEP 2011 as follows: - Amend the zoning map to rezone the subject land from a 'deferred matter' to an R3 Medium Density Residential zone;
Is an explanation of	the attached planning proposal). provisions provided - s55(2)(b) of provisions provided? Yes The application contains an adequate explanation of provisions (refer to page 7 of the attached planning proposal). This planning proposal seeks to amend the Draft Fairfield LEP 2011 as follows: - Amend the zoning map to rezone the subject land from a 'deferred matter' to an R3 Medium Density Residential zone; - Amend the height of building map; to apply a maximum building height control of 9 metres to the subject land;
Is an explanation of	the attached planning proposal). provisions provided - s55(2)(b) of provisions provided? Yes The application contains an adequate explanation of provisions (refer to page 7 of the attached planning proposal). This planning proposal seeks to amend the Draft Fairfield LEP 2011 as follows: - Amend the zoning map to rezone the subject land from a 'deferred matter' to an R3 Medium Density Residential zone; - Amend the height of building map; to apply a maximum building height control of 9
Is an explanation of	the attached planning proposal). provisions provided - s55(2)(b) of provisions provided? Yes The application contains an adequate explanation of provisions (refer to page 7 of the attached planning proposal). This planning proposal seeks to amend the Draft Fairfield LEP 2011 as follows: - Amend the zoning map to rezone the subject land from a 'deferred matter' to an R3 Medium Density Residential zone; - Amend the height of building map; to apply a maximum building height control of 9 metres to the subject land; - Amend the floor space ratio (FSR) map to apply a FSR control of 0.45:1 to the subject land;
Is an explanation of	<ul> <li>the attached planning proposal).</li> <li>provisions provided - s55(2)(b)</li> <li>of provisions provided? Yes</li> <li>The application contains an adequate explanation of provisions (refer to page 7 of the attached planning proposal).</li> <li>This planning proposal seeks to amend the Draft Fairfield LEP 2011 as follows: <ul> <li>Amend the zoning map to rezone the subject land from a 'deferred matter' to an R3 Medium Density Residential zone;</li> <li>Amend the height of building map; to apply a maximum building height control of 9 metres to the subject land;</li> <li>Amend the floor space ratio (FSR) map to apply a FSR control of 0.45:1 to the subject land;</li> <li>Amend the heritage map to include heritage item No.72, being Lots 4, 5, 12 and 13 in DP 24918 (161 Polding Street, Fairfield Heights);</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

height of buildings; floor space ratio; heritage and land application maps under the Draft Fairfield LEP 2011, is included on pages 9 to 12 of the attached planning proposal.

## Justification - s55 (2)(c)

a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? No

b) S.117 directions identified by RPA :

\* May need the Director General's agreement

- 2.3 Heritage Conservation
- 3.1 Residential Zones
- 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport
- 4.3 Flood Prone Land 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements
- 6.3 Site Specific Provisions
- 7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036

Is the Director General's agreement required? Yes

c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : Yes

d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified?

SEPP No 1—Development Standards SEPP No 4—Development Without Consent and Miscellaneous Exempt and Complying Development SEPP No 19—Bushland in Urban Areas SEPP No 32—Urban Consolidation (Redevelopment of Urban Land) SEPP No 60—Exempt and Complying Development SEPP (Building Sustainability Index: BASIX) 2004 SEPP (Building Sustainability Index: BASIX) 2004 SEPP (Exempt and Complying Development Codes) 2008 SEPP (Housing for Seniors or People with a Disability) 2004 SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007 SEPP (Temporary Structures and Places of Public Entertainment) 2007 SEPP (Affordable Rental Housing) 2009

e) List any other matters that need to be considered :

## **SECTION 117 DIRECTIONS**

#### 2.3 HERITAGE CONSERVATION

This direction requires that a planning proposal include provisions that facilitate the conservation of any items; places; buildings; works; relics; moveable objects or precincts of environmental or indigenous heritage significance.

The planning proposal has identified the presence of a heritage item of local significance (a Victorian House) within the south western portion of this precinct, at No.161 Polding Street, Fairfield Heights (refer to pages 7 and 12 of the attached planning proposal for details).

It is considered that this planning proposal will not have an adverse impact upon this heritage item, as the proposal will essentially facilitate the transfer of the existing medium density zoning controls for the subject land under the Fairfield LEP, into the equivalent Standard Instrument zone in the Draft Fairfield LEP 2011.

The planning proposal seeks to facilitate the conservation of this local heritage item through the inclusion of this item in Schedule 5 of the Draft Fairfield LEP 2011, and on the supporting heritage maps.

Additionally, it is noted that Chapter 3 of the Fairfield City Wide Development Control Plan contains provisions which seek to ensure the conservation of the heritage significance of Fairfield's natural and built environment, and mitigate any impacts of new development on the significance of heritage items. Any future development application for the subject land will be required to have regard to the heritage provisions contained in the Fairfield City Wide DCP.

In light of the above, it is considered that this planning proposal is not inconsistent with this direction.

#### 3.1 RESIDENTIAL ZONES

The planning proposal is generally consistent with this direction as the proposed rezoning will facilitate a variety and choice of housing types, adjacent to the Fairfield Heights local business centre and make efficient use of existing infrastructure and services.

While it is noted that the exhibited draft Fairfield LEP 2011 identified the subject land as a proposed R4 High Density Residential zone, the proposed land use rezoning is consistent with the nature of the existing development within the subject land. This proposal will not reduce the permissible residential density of this land, as it seeks to translate the existing zoning controls for the land (2a1 Residential A1 zone) under the Fairfield LEP 1994, into the equivalent Standard Instrument zone (R3 Medium Density Residential zone) in the draft Fairfield LEP 2011.

#### 3.4 INTEGRATING LAND USE AND TRANSPORT

The planning proposal is considered consistent with this direction as the proposed land use rezoning seeks to improve access to housing, jobs and services and maintain the permissible medium residential density on the land. This will assist in supporting of the viable operation of existing infrastructure and services as the site is located in close proximity to existing Fairfield Height local business centre, as well as road and public transport infrastructure.

#### 4.3 FLOOD PRONE LAND

As outlined in the planning proposal, the subject precinct is not affected by mainstream flooding issues, however contains a number of lots (on the corner of Slender Ave and The Boulevarde) which are subject to low risk overland flooding (See page 23 of the attached proposal).

It is considered that the proposal will not generate any additional flooding issues as the proposal essentially involves the translation of the existing zoning controls for the site under the Fairfield LEP 1994, into the equivalent Standard Instrument zone in the Draft Fairfield LEP 2011.

Notwithstanding this, Chapter 11 'Flood risk management' of the Fairfield City Wide Development Control Plan (DCP) contains specific provisions which seek to guide the use and development of land subject to potential flooding, and mitigate the potential flood risk to development within the Fairfield LGA.

As noted in the proposal, any future development application for the subject land will be required to have regard to the flood risk management controls contained in the Fairfield DCP and provisions in the NSW Government's Flood Planning Development Manual (2005).

In light of the above, it is considered that that the proposal is not inconsistent with this direction.

#### 6.1 APPROVAL AND REFERRAL REQUIREMENTS

Part 3 of the planning proposal indicates that this proposal is consistent with this direction, as it will not discourage the efficient and appropriate assessment of development, as the proposal does not include additional provisions which require concurrence, consultation or referral of development applications for the subject lands, to a Minister or public authority.

#### **6.3 SITE SPECIFIC PROVISIONS**

It is considered that this planning proposal is justifably inconsistent with Direction 6.3, which discourages the use of unnecessarily restrictive site specific planning controls.

As outlined in Part 2 of the attached proposal, this proposal also seeks to amend the

ropood rozoning of inc	
	Draft Fairfield LEP 2011 to apply a maximum building height control of 9 metres, and maxmimum floor space ratio of 0.45:1 to the subject land. The proposed height and FSR provisions are considered appropriate for the type of residential uses that are permitted within the proposed R3 Medium Density Residential Zone (i.e attached dwellings, dwelling houses and multi-dwelling housing) and will ensure consistency with the height and FSR provisions proposed for the surrounding R3 zoned land under the Draft Fairfield LEP 2011.
	The Departments LEP Practice Note PN08-001 'Height and floor space ratio', issued in January 2008, encourages Council to include height controls in areas where urban growth is planned, such as R3 Medium Density Residential and R4 High Density Residential zones, and the practice note recommends that these controls be set out within Local Environmental Plans, rather than Development Control Plans.
	In light of the above, it is recommended that the DG's delegate agree to the inconsistency with this direction on the grounds that it is considered of minor significance.
	7.1 IMPLEMENTATION OF THE METROPOLITAN PLAN FOR SYDNEY 2036 Part 3, Table E of the planning proposal identifies compliance of this proposal with '7.1 Implementation of the Metro Strategy'. However, Direction 7.1 requires that the Relevant Planning Authority demonstrate consistency with the NSW Government's Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036 (2010) when preparing a planning proposal.
	In this light, it is recommended that the planning proposal be revised to include an accurate reference to this direction.
	Notwithstanding the above, it is considered that this planning proposal is generally consistent with the vision, transport and land use strategy, policies, outcomes and actions contained in the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036, as the proposed medium density residential rezoning of this land will continue to provide housing opportunities within close proximity to the Fairfield Heights Town Centre; and make more efficient use of existing public transport infrastructure (including the bus services which operate along Polding Street).
	RELEVANT STATE ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING POLICIES (SEPPs) AND REGIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL PLANS (DEEMED SEPPs)
	Part 3, Table D adequately identifies that this planning proposal is not inconsistent with the relevant SEPPs and Deemed SEPPs.
	STANDARD INSTRUMENT (LEPs) ORDER 2006 It is considered that the planning proposal is consistent with the Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006.
Have inconsistencies with i	tems a), b) and d) being adequately justified? <b>No</b>
If No, explain :	As previously discussed, this planning proposal is considered inconsistent with s.117 direction 6.3 'Site Specific Provisions'.
	It is recommended that the Director-General's delegate agree to the inconsistency of the proposal with s.117 direction 6.3, on the grounds that it is considered of minor significance.
Mapping Provided - s5	5(2)(d)
Is mapping provided? Yes	
Comment :	Council has submitted a number of maps in support of this planning proposal, which are included on pages pages 4-6 and 9-12 of the attached planning proposal.
	The maps provided identify the location of the subject land; the exising zoning of this land under the Fairfield LEP 1994; and the proposed amendments to the zoning, floor

	space ratio, height of building and heritage maps in the Draft Fairfield LEP 2011.	
community consult	ation - s55(2)(e)	
	tation been proposed? <b>Yes</b>	
Comment :	Council intends to advertise the public exhibition of this planning proposal in the local newspaper, and through the distribution of letters to owners of properties being rezoned, including land owners owners/occupiers located within a 50 metre radius of the subject land (Refer to pages 26 and 27 of the attached planning proposal).	
dditional Director	General's requirements	
Are there any additiona	al Director General's requirements? No	
If Yes, reasons :		
)verall adequacy o	f the proposal	
Does the proposal mee	et the adequacy criteria? <b>Yes</b>	
If No, comment :	The proposal is considered to have merit for progression. The Sydney West Regional Team recommends that this planning proposal proceed, subject to the conditions listed in the 'Recommendations' section of this report.	
posal Assessment		
rincipal LEP:		
Due Date : September	2012	
Comments in relation to Principal LEP :	The Draft Fairfield Local Environmental Plan 2011 was publicly exhibited from 18 January, 2012 to 14 March, 2012.	
	The subject land was exhibited in the Draft Fairfield LEP 2011 as a proposed R4 High Density Residential zone (Refer to pages 3 and 14 of the attached planning proposal).	
	Following Council's consideration of the numerous submissions of objection received in relation to the proposed R4 zoning of this land, the subject land was designated as a deferred matter in the Draft Fairfield LEP 2011, which was submitted to the Department by Council in May, 2012 for finalisation and gazettal (A copy of the Draft Minutes of the Comprehensive LEP Committee Meeting dated 17 April, 2012 are attached).	
	This planning proposal is seeking to amend the Draft Fairfield LEP 2011.	
	Subject to the timing of completion of the Draft Fairfield LEP 2011, the proposed amendment will either be incorporated into the Draft Fairfield LEP 2011, or proceed as Amendment No.1 to the Draft Fairfield LEP 2011 upon completion.	
ssessment Criteri	a	
Need for planning proposal :	The need for this planning proposal has been adequately addressed by Council (Refer to pages 14-15 of the attached planning proposal).	
	This planning proposal is the outcome of Council's resolution at the Comprehensive LEP Committee Meeting on 17 April, 2012 to defer the subject land from the Draft Fairfield LEP 2011 and prepare a planning proposal for the rezoning of this land to R3 Medium Density Residential, in response to the many public objections received by Council following the exhibition of this land in the Draft Fairfield LEP 2011 as a proposed high density residential zone.	
	This planning proposal will provide the best means of achieving Council's objectives, and will essentially facilitate the transfer of the current zoning controls that apply to this land	

	under the Fairfield L	EP 1994, into the Draft Fairfield LEP 20	11.
		sal is required in order to remove the r er the Draft Fairfield LEP 2011, and to ensity Residential.	
		sal will also allow for further consultati nder the Draft Fairfield LEP 2011.	ion regarding the proposed
Consistency with strategic planning framework :	including the Metrop	al is generally consistent with the stra olitan Plan for Sydney 2036 and Draft r to pages 16 and 17 of the attached p	West Central Subregional
		n for Sydney 2036 seeks to ensure the levelopment, and to produce housing	
	residential developm centres; and to provi	f the Draft West Central Subregional S ent around centres, town centres, villa de a mix of housing. The Draft West C of 24,000 additional dwellings for the F oposal).	ages and neighbourhood entral Subregional Strategy also
	the Metropolitan Plar seeks maintain the av for a diversity of hou	a planning proposal will assist Council n for Sydney 2036 and Draft West Cent vailability of land for residential uses i sing types (to cater for the changing h ield Heights local business centre, exi	ral Subregional Strategy, as it n the Fairfield LGA and allow ousehold needs), within close
	impact upon Council	g proposal indicates that the proposed 's achievement of the dwelling target p pregional Strategy (Refer to page 18 of gional Team.	precribed for the Fairfield LGA
Environmental social economic impacts :		nmental, social and economic implicati y addressed by Council(refer to pages	
	species or critical ha contains low to medi	he attached planning proposal indicate bitats present within the subject lands um density residential uses, it is not n ny likely adverse environmental impact	. As the subject lands currently ot expected that this planning
	impacts, as the prope	osal will generate any significant adve osal will facilitate the translation of the eld LEP 1994, into the Draft Fairfield LE	e current zoning controls for the
ssessment Proces	S		
Proposal type :	Routine	Community Consultation Period :	28 Days
Timeframe to make LEP :	12 Month	Delegation :	DDG
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d)	Transport for NSW - F	Roads and Maritime Services	

Is Public Hearing by the	PAC required?	No	
(2)(a) Should the matter	hioceed (	Yes	
If no, provide reasons :			
Resubmission - s56(2)(b	) : <b>No</b>		
If Yes, reasons :			
Identify any additional st	udies, if required. :		
If Other, provide reasons	3:		
Identify any internal cons	sultations, if required	d :	
No internal consultation	n required		
ls the provision and fund	ing of state infrastru	acture relevant to this plan? <b>No</b>	
f Yes, reasons :			
uments	en dan berharen belanda bir er en birker van hane en men men an men er berharen er berharen de er berharen er b	9999, and has a second a second a second a second a second a second second second second second second second s	Management (1997)
unghio			
Document File Name		DocumentType Name	ls Public
Document File Name		DocumentType Name	Is Public
		DocumentType Name	Is Public
	nendation	DocumentType Name	Is Public
ning Team Recom		DocumentType Name	Is Public
nning Team Recomr	ng proposal support 2.3 Heritage Con	ted at this stage : Recommended with Conditions	Is Public
nning Team Recomr	ng proposal support 2.3 Heritage Con 3.1 Residential Z	ted at this stage : Recommended with Conditions servation Zones	Is Public
nning Team Recomr	ng proposal support 2.3 Heritage Con 3.1 Residential Z	ted at this stage : Recommended with Conditions nservation Zones and Use and Transport	Is Public
nning Team Recomr	ng proposal support 2.3 Heritage Con 3.1 Residential Z 3.4 Integrating L 4.3 Flood Prone	ted at this stage : Recommended with Conditions nservation Zones and Use and Transport	Is Public
nning Team Recomr	ng proposal support 2.3 Heritage Con 3.1 Residential Z 3.4 Integrating L 4.3 Flood Prone 6.1 Approval and 6.3 Site Specific	ted at this stage : Recommended with Conditions nservation Zones and Use and Transport Land d Referral Requirements Provisions	Is Public
nning Team Recomr	ng proposal support 2.3 Heritage Con 3.1 Residential Z 3.4 Integrating L 4.3 Flood Prone 6.1 Approval and 6.3 Site Specific	ted at this stage : Recommended with Conditions nservation Zones .and Use and Transport Land d Referral Requirements	Is Public
Preparation of the planni S.117 directions:	ng proposal support 2.3 Heritage Con 3.1 Residential Z 3.4 Integrating L 4.3 Flood Prone 6.1 Approval and 6.3 Site Specific 7.1 Implementati	ted at this stage : Recommended with Conditions nservation Zones and Use and Transport Land d Referral Requirements Provisions	
Preparation of the planni S.117 directions:	ng proposal support 2.3 Heritage Con 3.1 Residential Z 3.4 Integrating L 4.3 Flood Prone 6.1 Approval and 6.3 Site Specific 7.1 Implementati It is recommend conditions: (1) The Director-	ted at this stage : Recommended with Conditions Inservation Zones and Use and Transport Land d Referral Requirements Provisions ion of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036	the following
Preparation of the planni 5.117 directions:	ng proposal support 2.3 Heritage Con 3.1 Residential Z 3.4 Integrating L 4.3 Flood Prone 6.1 Approval and 6.3 Site Specific 7.1 Implementati It is recommend conditions: (1) The Director- direction 6.3 Site (2) Table E in pla	ted at this stage : Recommended with Conditions Inservation Zones Land d Referral Requirements Provisions ion of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036 ed that this planning proposal proceeds, subject to f	the following th section 117 ference to section
Preparation of the planni S.117 directions:	ng proposal support 2.3 Heritage Con 3.1 Residential Z 3.4 Integrating L 4.3 Flood Prone 6.1 Approval and 6.3 Site Specific 7.1 Implementati It is recommende conditions: (1) The Director- direction 6.3 Site (2) Table E in pla 117 direction 7.1	ted at this stage : Recommended with Conditions Inservation Zones and Use and Transport Land d Referral Requirements Provisions ion of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036 ed that this planning proposal proceeds, subject to f General's delegate agrees that the inconsistency with a Specific Provisions is justified;	the following th section 117 ference to section
Document File Name	ng proposal support 2.3 Heritage Con 3.1 Residential Z 3.4 Integrating L 4.3 Flood Prone 6.1 Approval and 6.3 Site Specific 7.1 Implementati It is recommend conditions: (1) The Director- direction 6.3 Site (2) Table E in pla 117 direction 7.1 (3) Community c	ted at this stage : Recommended with Conditions Inservation Zones and Use and Transport Land d Referral Requirements Provisions ion of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036 ed that this planning proposal proceeds, subject to the General's delegate agrees that the inconsistency with a Specific Provisions is justified; anning proposal be revised to include an accurate re (Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney	the following th section 117 ference to section

Signature:

Printed Name:

RTanming

15 June 2012 Rachel Cumming Date:

Team Leader Sydney West Region